Local Mandate Fiscal Impact Estimate Kentucky Legislative Research Commission 2016 Regular Session

CORRECTED 3/8/2016

Part I: Measure Information

Bill Request #: 2033					
Bill #: HB 510					
Bill Subject/Title: An ACT relating to canines.					
Sponsor: Rep. Tom Riner					
Unit of Government: x City x County x Urban-County Unified Local x Government Office(s) Impacted: All local governments and subdivisions thereof					
Requirement: x Mandatory Optional					
Effect on Powers & Duties:x _ Modifies Existingx _ Adds Newx _ Eliminates Existing					
Part II: Purpose and Mechanics					

HB 510 defines "dog" and provides that no ordinance relating to dog safety or welfare or public safety may specify a particular breed of dog. The bill further provides that no existing ordinance which specifies a breed of dog shall be enforceable.

Part III: Fiscal Explanation, Bill Provisions, and Estimated Cost

The fiscal impact of this bill is expected to be minimal. There will be minimal costs associated with revising some existing ordinances. However, it is possible there could be other costs. There could be costs associated with litigation related to dog attacks by certain breeds no longer covered (controlled) by revised ordinances. There could conceivably be costs associated with insurance premiums or claims related to dog attacks similarly not covered by ordinances. There could be costs associated with the concept of "illegal taking" if all dog breeds were covered by ordinances, thus restricting the conditions of ownership for all breeds.

Data Source	(s): Kentucky League	of Cities; Ken	tucky Association of Co	<u>unties</u>	
Preparer: _	H. Marks	Reviewer:	JWN	Date:	3/7/16